

(Download pdf) Atlas of County Boundary Changes in Virginia, 1634-1895

Atlas of County Boundary Changes in Virginia, 1634-1895

Michael F. Doran

*ePub | *DOC | audiobook | ebooks | Download PDF*

DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#2710632 in Books 1987-06 Original language: English 17.00 x 11.00 x .751, #File Name: 093593130961 pages | File size: 34.Mb

Michael F. Doran : Atlas of County Boundary Changes in Virginia, 1634-1895 before purchasing it in order to gauge whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Atlas of County Boundary Changes in Virginia, 1634-1895:

0 of 0 people found the following review helpful. Take the information in the atlas with a grain of salt By Paul It gets much of the northern neck wrong in the 1700s. In 1720, King George and Stafford counties are presented with their modern borders, but they didn't receive their modern borders until after 1776. Between 1720-1776, King George didn't touch the Potomac river, but was a strip running along the Rappahannock from modern Fauquier county to modern Richmond County. In these same years, Stafford ran parallel with King George along the Potomac. Because this is wrong, I now don't trust the rest of the information. 0 of 0 people found the following review helpful. Atlas is an excellent resource to know is ancestors moved or simply new ... By Judy Wulbrecht Atlas is an excellent resource to know is ancestors moved or simply new counties were made. Each map page can be laid on top of another to see exactly where changes made. Only resource I know that allows you to see the changes.

Maps covering the growth of Virginia counties and their subdivisions for each decade beginning in 1634 and ending with the last county formed in West Virginia in 1895. Each map is accompanied with a discussion of the changes and a table listing each new county and its parent county(ies). With the Atlas a researcher can locate exactly what county an ancestor lived in during the colonial days by checking the maps for the appropriate time period. It is possible that the

absence of any records on an individual might not necessarily denote his moving, but the shifting of the county boundary and recording of information in a different county.